



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,299	08/12/2008	Hermann Monstadt	EV3N.011NP	8939
14010	7590	11/08/2011		
Covidien (McDermott Will & Emery LLP)			EXAMINER	
Attn: IP Legal Department			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G	
15 Hampshire Street, Bldg. 4A				
Mansfield, MA 02048			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2011	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/597,299

Applicant(s)

MONSTADT, HERMANN

Examiner

MICHAEL MENDOZA

Art Unit

3734

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2011.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on \_\_\_\_; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
- 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 and 25-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 5a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 and 25-27 is/are rejected.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 9) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
2. The applicant has amended independent claim 1 to include the new limitation of "wherein the distal portion of the at least one stabilization helix axially overlaps at least a portion of the at least one occlusion helix, and a proximal portion of the at least one stabilization helix does not axially overlap the at least one occlusion helix." The new limitation changes the scope of the claim and requires new consideration.
3. Claim 24 is cancelled.
4. Claims 25-27 are newly added.
5. Claims 1-23 and 25-37 are pending.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-18, 21-23, and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aganon et al. 7166122.

Art Unit: 3734

8. Aganon et al. teaches a device comprising an insertion aid (317), at least one occlusion helix (302), the at least one occlusion helix comprising a longitudinally-oriented lumen (figs. 7b, 7c), a securing means (108) extending through the lumen; at least one electrolytically corrodible severance element (314), with at least one stabilization helix (172) being arranged between severance element (314) and occlusion helix (302), characterized in that the stabilization helix (172) being connected with the occlusion helix (302) by an electrically isolating adhesion layer (158) such that the occlusion helix (302) becomes isolated from voltage when an electrical voltage is applied to the severance element (314); wherein the at least one securing means (108) is connected to the distal front section of the at least one occlusion helix with a distally electrically isolating distal adhesion layer (the distal cap 107 and 307 are made of thermoplastics); wherein a distal portion of the at least one stabilization helix (172) axially overlaps at least a portion of the at least one occlusion helix (302, figs. 7b, 7c), and a proximal portion of the at least one stabilization helix (172) does not axially overlap the at least one occlusion helix (302, figs. 7b, 7c); wherein the stabilization helix (172) comprises an electrically isolating coating (158); and wherein a securing means (108) extends through the lumen of the occlusion helix (302); wherein the securing means (108) consists of a material having shape-memory properties (nitinol, col. 14, lines 40-46); wherein the securing means (108) is configured to transform and assume a previously impressed structure configuration when placed into the blood vessel or body cavity (definition of shape-memory); wherein the securing means (108) consists of Nitinol (col. 14, lines 40-46); wherein at least one securing means extends from the

Art Unit: 3734

stabilization helix to the distal front section of the at least one occlusion helix; wherein the at least one securing means is connected with the distal front section of the at least one occlusion helix via an electrically isolation distal adhesion layer configured to isolate the occlusion helix from an electrical voltage applied to the severance element; wherein the securing means is provided with an electrically isolating coating (col. 15, lines 42-46); wherein the at least one occlusion helix comprises an inner side with an electrically isolating coating (col. 8, lines 4-12); wherein the at least one occlusion helix is provided with a plurality of spaced electrolytically corrodible severance elements (figs. 7b, 7c); further comprising a plurality of spaced occlusion helixes with an electrolytically corrodible severance element arranged between each of the individual spaced occlusion helixes (figs. 7b, 7c); further comprising a securing means arranged in a segment of the at least one occlusion helix located between the plurality of spaced electrolytically corrodible severance elements (figs. 7b, 7c); wherein at least one of the securing means extend from one stabilization helix connected by a severance element to the next distally located stabilization helix (figs. 7b, 7c); wherein at least one of the securing means extends from one severance element to the next distally located severance element (figs. 7b, 7c); wherein the plurality of spaced electrolytically corrodible severance elements are connected with each other so as to be electrically conductive via the securing means extending through the lumen of the at least one occlusion helix (a conductive filler can be used conductive connect the securing means); wherein the electrically isolating adhesion layer comprises an acrylate adhesive (polyvinylchloride, col. 15, lines 42-46); wherein the occlusion helixes

Art Unit: 3734

comprise the material selected from the group consisting of platinum, a platinum alloy, and a platinum-iridium alloy (col. 7, lines 61-65); wherein the insertion aid is a guide wire (col. 2, lines 14-19); and wherein the device is a micro-catheter (col. 2, lines 35-38); wherein the at least one severance element has an electrically conductive connection with the securing means (108 + 172 + 314 + 358); wherein the at least one electrolytically corrodible severance element has the conductive connection with the securing means via the at least one stabilization link (108 + 172 + 314 + 358); wherein the plurality of spaced severance elements have an electrically conductive connection with each other (figs. 7b, 7c).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claim 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aganon et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Monstdt et al. 7323000.

11. Aganon et al. teaches the device according to claim 1. It should be noted that Aganon et al. fails to teach wherein the at least one electrolytically corrodible severance element comprises a steel alloy material. Aganon et al. teaches a metal link that is dissolved through electrolysis.

12. Monstdt et al. teaches a common link using metals including a steel alloy material (col. 4, lines 59-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3734

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Aganon et al. in view of Monstdt et al. to make the link with the metal described including steel alloy material as a matter of mere design choice since the are all alternatives for each other.

13. Aganon/Monstdt teaches the device according to claim 1 wherein the at least one electrolytically corrodible severance element is pre-corroded (col. 5, lines 63-66).

### ***Conclusion***

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL MENDOZA whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3734

(571)272-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 10:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, ***please contact the examiner's supervisor, GARY JACKSON, at (571) 272-4697.*** The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

***If there are any inquiries that are not being addressed by first contacting the Examiner or the Supervisor, you may send an email inquiry to***

TC3700\_Workgroup\_D\_Inquiries@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Mendoza  
/M. M./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3734  
October 31, 2011

/Gary Jackson/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 3734